**Team Peer Evaluation Form**

Your name:

Write the name of each of your group members in a separate column. For each person, indicate the extent to which you agree with the statement on the left, using a scale of 1-4 (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=agree; 4=strongly agree). Total the numbers in each column. **Make sure to answer the questions on the 2nd page.**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Evaluation Criteria | Group member:  **Sherry Kosmicki** | Group member:  **Meenakshi Shankara** | Group member: |
| Attends group meetings regularly and arrives on time. | 4 | 4 |  |
| Contributes meaningfully to group discussions. | 4 | 4 |  |
| Completes group assignments on time. | 4 | 4 |  |
| Prepares work in a quality manner. | 4 | 4 |  |
| Demonstrates a cooperative and supportive attitude. | 4 | 4 |  |
| Contributes significantly to the success of the project. | 4 | 4 |  |
| TOTALS | 24 | 24 |  |

Feedback on team dynamics:

1. How effectively is your group work?

Our group is working efficiently every week. We are meeting twice a week on Wednesday and Friday to discuss on the progress of term project. Each person in our group works separately on the given task for the week, and finally we discuss and conclude on the best approach to be taken for the given assignment during the meet up session.

1. Are the behaviors of any of your team members particularly valuable or detrimental to the team? Explain.

We have created a group in Teams for any discussion and clarification. Both the team members are very active in Teams throughout the week and open for discussion or chat at any time which is helping us to complete the given task for the week on time.

1. Any questions or concerns.

I don’t have any concern. However, I have a question regarding the term project submission. Each person in the group needs to perform end to end modelling exercise and validate the results among the peers during review session. Or, each person in the group split the work like one person doing EDA, another person doing visualization and third person doing the modelling and then consolidate the results and submit. Need clarification.

Adapted from a peer evaluation form developed at Johns Hopkins University (October, 2006)